

Client name Cody Buchholz
Form Montana Licensing Reform Task Force
Matter Cody Buchholz - Rules
Sent February 26, 2026 at 5:17 PM
Due
Submitted February 26, 2026 at 5:17 PM

Cody Buchholz

Date of birth	Company
Home email bigbrotherauctioneer@hotmail.com	Home address 2836 N 17th Rd Worden, MT 59088
Home phone 406 855 9594	

Montana Licensing Reform Task Force

This Task Force was created pursuant to Executive Order 1-2026 on January 29, 2026.

Purpose of the Licensing Reform Task Force

The Task Force shall provide the Governor with recommendations and strategies for the State of Montana to reform the professional occupational licensing system for the purposes of:

- identifying and removing burdens and barriers faced by licensees that are not necessary to protect the public; and
- improving access to and availability of professional services for citizens across Montana, including rural communities.

In developing recommendations and strategies, the Task Force shall seek input from Montana citizens, legislators, Montana associations whose members are licensed occupational professionals, professional licensing boards, relevant state agencies, advisory groups and researchers focused on occupational licensing, and other appropriate stakeholders as determined by the Task Force.

Public Record

Please note that all information received through this form is public record.

Which committee would you like to receive your comment?

Full Task Force

We want to hear from you!

We would like to receive any comments you would like the Task Force, or one of its subcommittees, to review. In addition, we are specifically looking for feedback for:

1. Specific topics a committee or the task force should consider, and
2. Specific people or organizations you think the task force should hear from.

Do you have a general comment or a specific person or topic for the Task Force to hear from?

What are your comments?

Electricity kills. Whether it is by fire or electrocution. Both can happen when not properly installed.

Based on research from the National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) and the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS), states with stringent, mandatory licensing and apprenticeship requirements for electricians generally experience lower rates of electrical fatalities compared to states without such regulations.

IEEE

IEEE

+1

Key Findings on Licensing and Fatalities:

Lower Fatality Rates in Licensed States: Analysis of 2011–2018 data indicates that the 11 states requiring formal apprenticeship or education for licensure are among those with the lowest electrical fatality rates.

Correlated Factors: States with strict licensing often have higher rates of OSHA-approved state plans and a higher union representation, both of which correlate with fewer on-the-job fatalities.

Safety Training Impact: Stricter, state-mandated licensing ensures consistent, high-standard training (e.g., NFPA 70E), reducing the "trial-and-error" approach to electrical work that often leads to fatalities in unregulated areas.

IEEE

IEEE

+4

Contextual Data (2011–2023):

Total Deaths: Between 2011 and 2023, there were 1,940 electrical fatalities in the U.S., with an average of 147–150 deaths per year.

Non-Electrical Occupations: Interestingly, 70% to 74% of workplace electrical fatalities occur in non-electrical occupations, meaning the worker was not a licensed electrician.

Risk Factors: Even with regulations, 98% of electrical fatalities are preventable, and a significant portion involves contact with energized parts (49% overhead lines, 20% unexpected contact).

Workplace Risk: While electrician licensing improves safety, the construction industry as a whole remains the highest-risk sector, and non-union workers account for a large majority (85%+) of fatal electrical accidents.

Electrical Safety Foundation International

Electrical Safety Foundation International

+4

Conclusion on Licensing Impact

Research shows a direct, positive correlation between stringent, state-wide electrical licensing and reduced fatality rates.

States with minimal, local-only, or no licensing often see higher rates of injury, largely because of lower requirements for training and safe work practices.

IEEE

IEEE

+4

This is information given with a quick google search. It is very clear that electrical licensing is necessary.

Client name Deborah Kimmet
Form Montana Licensing Reform Task Force
Matter Deborah Kimmet - Rules
Sent February 25, 2026 at 11:31 AM
Due
Submitted February 25, 2026 at 11:31 AM

Deborah Kimmet

Date of birth	Company
Home email deb@debkimmet.com	Home address Missoula, MT
Home phone 14065444704	

Montana Licensing Reform Task Force

This Task Force was created pursuant to Executive Order 1-2026 on January 29, 2026.

Purpose of the Licensing Reform Task Force

The Task Force shall provide the Governor with recommendations and strategies for the State of Montana to reform the professional occupational licensing system for the purposes of:

- identifying and removing burdens and barriers faced by licensees that are not necessary to protect the public; and
- improving access to and availability of professional services for citizens across Montana, including rural communities.

In developing recommendations and strategies, the Task Force shall seek input from Montana citizens, legislators, Montana associations whose members are licensed occupational professionals, professional licensing boards, relevant state agencies, advisory groups and researchers focused on occupational licensing, and other appropriate stakeholders as determined by the Task Force.

Public Record

Please note that all information received through this form is public record.

Which committee would you like to receive your comment?

Sunset Review Subcommittee

We want to hear from you!

We would like to receive any comments you would like the Task Force, or one of its subcommittees, to review. In addition, we are specifically looking for feedback for:

1. Specific topics a committee or the task force should consider, and
2. Specific people or organizations you think the task force should hear from.

Do you have a general comment or a specific person or topic for the Task Force to hear from?

Specific person or topic

What are your comments?

RE: Clarification regarding sunset provisions from 1977 - Massage Therapy was NOT sunset.

The Sunset Subcommittee will review the history of sunsets in Montana at their next meeting. I'd like to clarify what happened to massage therapy in the early 1980's, as I conducted a deep dive into this issue when massage therapists were considering reviving licensure in the 2000's.

It could appear that massage therapy was sunset in 1981, but that's NOT the case. The short version is that massage therapists actually chose not to renew their practice act to avoid being subjected to a burdensome fee that would have put many of them out of business.

The longer version:

In 1977, SB 162 instituted sunset reviews for all boards and agencies on a timetable over the following 6 years. SB 162 set termination dates for each board unless the legislature renewed that board's practice act based on the review of an interim sunset committee.

Massage therapy's review occurred in 1980, prior to the 1981 legislative session. The sunset review committee did NOT recommend sunseting massage therapy. In 1981, HB 636, was introduced to continue licensing massage therapists and passed the House with no amendments. It was heavily amended in the Senate, with the House rejecting those amendments. After the conference committee, the sponsor moved to have the bill reconsidered on the 91st day, effectively killing the bill.

One of the sticking points was a senate amendment to add an on-going \$500 inspection fee – which in 2026 dollars would be \$1783. Massage therapists would rather let the profession become unregulated than be subject to this burdensome fee.

In 2009, massage therapy licensure was re-instituted when stakeholders in the profession reached consensus as to how to proceed in a manner that protected public safety while not creating burdensome barriers to practice. In 2025, Montana joined the massage therapy licensure compact, which would be jeopardized if the profession were sunset or deregulated, resulting in a negative impact on Montana workers.

As someone who has a deep institutional knowledge of the massage therapy profession in Montana, I'm happy to answer any of your questions. I can also provide hard copies of the legislative record concerning the events chronicled here should you request them.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Deborah Kimmet, M.S., LMT

Client name Joe Wagner
Form Montana Licensing Reform Task Force
Matter Joe Wagner - Rules
Sent February 25, 2026 at 9:39 PM
Due
Submitted February 25, 2026 at 9:39 PM

Joe Wagner

Date of birth	Company
Home email jewagner10@gmail.com	Home address 807 Washington St Unit 8 Helena, MT 59601
Home phone 17752234786	

Montana Licensing Reform Task Force

This Task Force was created pursuant to Executive Order 1-2026 on January 29, 2026.

Purpose of the Licensing Reform Task Force

The Task Force shall provide the Governor with recommendations and strategies for the State of Montana to reform the professional occupational licensing system for the purposes of:

- identifying and removing burdens and barriers faced by licensees that are not necessary to protect the public; and
- improving access to and availability of professional services for citizens across Montana, including rural communities.

In developing recommendations and strategies, the Task Force shall seek input from Montana citizens, legislators, Montana associations whose members are licensed occupational professionals, professional licensing boards, relevant state agencies, advisory groups and researchers focused on occupational licensing, and other appropriate stakeholders as determined by the Task Force.

Public Record

Please note that all information received through this form is public record.

Which committee would you like to receive your comment?

Health Care Subcommittee

We want to hear from you!

We would like to receive any comments you would like the Task Force, or one of its subcommittees, to review. In addition, we are specifically looking for feedback for:

1. Specific topics a committee or the task force should consider, and
2. Specific people or organizations you think the task force should hear from.

Do you have a general comment or a specific person or topic for the Task Force to hear from?

General comment

What are your comments?

I really had to hold myself back on this comment. I noted myself as the king of England originally. I just heard about this blue cross blue shield bullshit. Im sorry you fucked with the bull, I'm a Marine with two combat tours in some of the worse conflicts in Afghanistan. I'm not coming after anyone, but I have 15 fucking years in healthcare. You are supposed to be removing red tape not making it harder to get certified by demanding in person supervision for mental health.

We already have a crisis in the field. I mean I use to find bombs for a living, we didn't go near the wires. To be candid, do your fucking job, I'm tired of using my inner Marine and I want to act as the professional I am.

Client name Lynn S. Johnson
Form Montana Licensing Reform Task Force
Matter Lynn S. Johnson - Rules
Sent March 2, 2026 at 4:52 PM
Due
Submitted March 2, 2026 at 4:52 PM

Lynn S. Johnson

Date of birth

Company Lynn S. Johnson, PhD

Work email lynn.johnsonmt@gmail.com

Home address 4178 5th Ave. S.
Great Falls, MT 59405

Home phone 406-231-6527

Montana Licensing Reform Task Force

This Task Force was created pursuant to Executive Order 1-2026 on January 29, 2026.

Purpose of the Licensing Reform Task Force

The Task Force shall provide the Governor with recommendations and strategies for the State of Montana to reform the professional occupational licensing system for the purposes of:

- identifying and removing burdens and barriers faced by licensees that are not necessary to protect the public; and
- improving access to and availability of professional services for citizens across Montana, including rural communities.

In developing recommendations and strategies, the Task Force shall seek input from Montana citizens, legislators, Montana associations whose members are licensed occupational professionals, professional licensing boards, relevant state agencies, advisory groups and researchers focused on occupational licensing, and other appropriate stakeholders as determined by the Task Force.

Public Record

Please note that all information received through this form is public record.

Which committee would you like to receive your comment?

Full Task Force

We want to hear from you!

We would like to receive any comments you would like the Task Force, or one of its subcommittees, to review. In addition, we are specifically looking for feedback for:

1. Specific topics a committee or the task force should consider, and
2. Specific people or organizations you think the task force should hear from.

Do you have a general comment or a specific person or topic for the Task Force to hear from?

General comment

What are your comments?

The State of MT is currently studying changes to the professional licensing laws. I have been a Licensed Psychologist in MT since 1980. However, I would not like to see the license for the specialized skills of PhD Psychologists for advanced psychological testing, diagnosis, and psychotherapy to be dropped and replaced by a generic license for all lesser trained professionals who have some partial skills in "mental health". Psychiatrists have their MD license. The LCPC folks and Marriage and Family therapists can have their own licenses, emphasizing their specialties. But some lesser trained "mental health workers" have just "on the job" or Online college credits in mental health. We must not confuse the public with a single-license "Mental Health" license. The State has already made the annual re-licensing much easier and cheaper than in previous years, which is sufficient reform.

Client name Susie Homemaker
Form Montana Licensing Reform Task Force
Matter Susie Homemaker - Rules
Sent February 24, 2026 at 6:58 PM
Due
Submitted February 24, 2026 at 6:58 PM

Susie Homemaker

Date of birth	Company
Home email paresis.feasts-9m@icloud.com	Home address
Phone	

Montana Licensing Reform Task Force

This Task Force was created pursuant to Executive Order 1-2026 on January 29, 2026.

Purpose of the Licensing Reform Task Force

The Task Force shall provide the Governor with recommendations and strategies for the State of Montana to reform the professional occupational licensing system for the purposes of:

- identifying and removing burdens and barriers faced by licensees that are not necessary to protect the public; and
- improving access to and availability of professional services for citizens across Montana, including rural communities.

In developing recommendations and strategies, the Task Force shall seek input from Montana citizens, legislators, Montana associations whose members are licensed occupational professionals, professional licensing boards, relevant state agencies, advisory groups and researchers focused on occupational licensing, and other appropriate stakeholders as determined by the Task Force.

Public Record

Please note that all information received through this form is public record.

Which committee would you like to receive your comment?

Health Care Subcommittee
Barriers Subcommittee

We want to hear from you!

We would like to receive any comments you would like the Task Force, or one of its subcommittees, to review. In addition, we are specifically looking for feedback for:

1. Specific topics a committee or the task force should consider, and
2. Specific people or organizations you think the task force should hear from.

Do you have a general comment or a specific person or topic for the Task Force to hear from?

Specific person or topic

What are your comments?

Spots in nursing programs are few and far between. Competition for these spots is fierce. Programs have become popularity contests and no longer teach on real patients with a multitude of comorbidities- instead they teach on mannequins using scenarios.

Any LPN or ASN who wants to further their education have an extremely hard time finding placement, playing the games in nursing school that now exist. In addition, no one can work and return to school- schedules don't allow for it. All programs want a science and a math class in the last 5 years. Why? Anatomy hasn't changed. Biology hasn't changed. Math hasn't changed. This is just a way for schools to make more money.

For LPNs and ASNs who want their RN or BSN- why not develop a more realistic way for them to be able to fill the RN need? Make them have a letter of reference from provider they work with and their employer. Make them have to have been actively practicing for 10+ years. Make them have to take a comprehensive test with skills sign off by DON. If they can succeed at this, allow them to test for the RN NCLEX. Those who are meant to advance will do so. Those who aren't won't be able if it is done appropriately. If you still aren't sure- have their employer approve their performance after 1 year to maintain licensure. Solve the barrier to advancement with some out of the box thinking. I know the universities will be against it, but the small towns and rural facilities as well as the nursing homes would welcome more staff.

37-69-301. License required. (1) Except as provided in **37-69-102**, a person working at the field of plumbing in this state, either as a master plumber or as a journeyman plumber, shall first obtain a license as provided in Title 37, chapter 69, part 3.

(2) A person who receives a license under the provisions of this chapter shall carry the license, or proof of licensure, at all times while working on a job site and performing work that requires a license. Acceptable proof of licensure must be determined by the board and made known by the department to each licensee when a license is issued.

37-69-304. Qualifications of applicants for journeyman plumber's license -- restriction on authority. (1) The following requirements must be met by applicants for a journeyman plumber's license:

- (a) a specific record of ~~5~~ 4 years of legally obtained experience in the field of plumbing. This experience requirement may be fulfilled by:

This is the statute that indirectly requires apprenticeship. Legally obtained experience can only be obtained by working under the exception 37-69-102 (i). It is difficult to determine what is meant by 37-69-304 (i). This section should be removed to simplify and clearly state requirements.

- ~~(i) ——— working 5 years in a major phase of the plumbing business, verified by time or pay records; or~~

This section should be removed. There's not a definition for Major phase of plumbing, and this could be used by someone with no practical plumbing experience. An applicant could argue that designing, reviewing plans, excavation, material procurement, or many other tasks are major phases.

(ii) completing an apprenticeship program meeting the standards set by the department or the United States department of labor (~~8000-hour minimum~~), bureau of apprenticeship. Credit toward this experience requirement may be given for time spent attending an accredited trade or other school specializing in training of value in the field of plumbing and approved by the board.

By putting an 8000-hour minimum in place, Montana would now align with the majority of other states that require licensure, and would also meet Federal guidelines for apprenticeship. The board has been allowing completion certificates from apprenticeship programs that only require 7500 hours to complete. These programs do not meet the requirements in 37-69-304 (ii) as a program that requires only 7500 hours would not meet standards set by the United states dept of labor.

(b) satisfactory completion of a written examination prescribed by the board and conducted by the department, subject to **37-1-101(4)**, testing the applicant's knowledge of techniques and methods employed in the field of plumbing and, if required by the board, a practical demonstration establishing competence in the special skills required in the field of plumbing.

(2) A licensed journeyman plumber may perform work only in the employment of a licensed master plumber unless otherwise permitted by rule of the board. Performing work in the employment of a licensed master plumber means the licensed master plumber shall observe the journeyman plumber's work at different times over the course of employment and for different levels of plumbing work.

37-69-102. Permanent and temporary exceptions. (1) Licensure is not required in the following instances of plumbing installation:

(a) when an owner of a single-family residence used exclusively for the owner's personal use installs all sanitary plumbing and potable water supply piping or when a mobile home dealer or a

manufactured housing dealer connects a mobile home or a manufactured house to existing sanitary and potable water supply facilities as part of delivering and setting up a mobile home for a purchaser;

(b) in any mine, mill, smelter, refinery, or railroad;

(c) in a farm or ranch not connected to public water supply and sewage disposal systems. For the purposes of this subsection (1)(c), a "farm or ranch" means the same as in **39-3-402**.

(d) in cities, towns, water districts, and water user associations extending, repairing, or replacing their own water and sewer mains;

~~(e) installation of water conditioner services in private dwellings;~~

This section creates a common issue for unlicensed practice. These installers often install water heaters and sections of pipe that are not covered by this exception.

(f) minor work by employees or agents of an appliance dealer incidental to the installation of an appliance purchased from the dealer;

(g) installation of a water meter by a qualified person appointed by the administrative authority of the water system;

(h) in the case of a private water supply, installation of the pump, waterline, or pressure tank, regardless of whether the pump, waterline, or pressure tank is located inside or outside the structure being served;

(i) in the case of an apprentice, as that term is defined in **39-6-101**, who is working under the supervision of a licensed plumber; and

(j) in the case of a student who is enrolled in a plumbing training program offered by an accredited college or university recognized by the board of regents if the student is undertaking class assignments in a classroom or a hands-on laboratory setting. This subsection (1)(j) does not authorize a student to engage in any plumbing work that will be incorporated or used in an occupied structure or connected to a plumbing system where work must be performed by a licensed plumber.

(2) This chapter may not be construed to apply to or to affect plumbing installations in any mines, mills, smelters, refineries, public utilities, railroads, or plumbing installations on farms or ranches not connected to public water supply or sewage disposal systems.

(3) If a licensed person is not available, the council or commission of a county, city, or town or the board of directors or managers of a water or sewer district or water utility may, by ordinance, rule, or resolution, authorize an unlicensed person to perform plumbing work on a temporary basis if:

(a) the council, commission, or board of directors has provided reasonable notice by certified letter to the board; and

(b) the board has approved the temporary authorization or has failed to respond to the certified letter required under subsection (3)(a) within 30 days of the letter's postmark.

(4) The council, commission, board of directors, or board shall withdraw the temporary authorization provided for in subsection (3) when a licensed person is reasonably available.

37-69-305. Qualifications of applicants for master plumber's license -- restriction on authority. (1) The following requirements must be met by an applicant for a master plumber's license:

(a) evidence of 4 years *and 6000 hours* of experience as a licensed journeyman plumber in the field of plumbing, verified by time or pay records of actual plumbing experience;

~~(b) evidence of 3 years of experience, which may run concurrently with the requirement in subsection (1)(a):~~

~~(i) working with a licensed master plumber; or~~

~~(ii) in a supervisory capacity in the field of plumbing; and~~

Changing the sections above would clarify licensure requirements and make it easier for the dept to issue licenses to applicants who are considered substantially equivalent. Section (a) requires 4 years of experience. This section is often misinterpreted by applicants resulting in unneeded calls to the board. Many applicants believe that they only need 6000 hours of work as a Journeyman to qualify for the Masters' exam and don't think that they need 4 years. Simplifying the language makes all their hours within the 4-year period count and clears up the requirement. Section (i) and (ii) are difficult to understand for applicants or board members, and dept employees. Section (i) is already taken care of for Montana applicants in 37-69-304 (2), this section could prevent an applicant from a jurisdiction that doesn't have a Masters license from being qualified to test. Section (ii) is confusing as supervisory capacity is not defined, and often times supervisors are not licensed Journeyman plumbers. Supervisors are commonly office staff who direct workers, HVAC installers, unlicensed owners or business partners, ect. This section could also restrict trade and prevent an applicant who is the only employee working under a Master in a small business, they would have a difficult time getting hours verification signed off if the master said they were never in a supervisory position. Deleting these sections would open up more opportunities for new small business to start.

(b) satisfactory completion of an examination prescribed by the board for master plumbers testing the applicant's knowledge of the field of plumbing, *applicable licensing and permitting laws* and demonstrating skill and ability in the field of plumbing.

A master plumber should demonstrate that they understand licensing and permitting laws. It is very common for a master to respond to a complaint saying they didn't know the rules, adding this language would make sure these important parts of the trade are being demonstrated.

~~(2) For purposes of subsection (1), 1 year of experience is 1,500 hours or more of work in a continuous 12-month period.~~

This section could be deleted if the changes are made to Section (a). This language has also caused issues of misinterpretation for Journeyman qualification, and apprenticeship. Applicants for Journeyman licenses often think that they qualify to test as soon as they have 7500 hours of experience but 37-69-304 only has a timeline requirement of 5 years. The office of apprenticeship has also misinterpreted this section and applied it to apprenticeship standards. There are many apprenticeship sponsors that have programs approved with a 7500-hour completion requirement. These programs would not meet federal guidelines of 8000-10000 hours.

(3) A master plumber may not allow the master plumber's license to be used by any person or firm, corporation, or business other than the master plumber's own for the purpose of obtaining permits or for doing plumbing work under the license.

50-60-506. Exceptions to permit requirement. (1) A permit is not required for any minor replacement or repair work, the performance of which does not have a significant potential for creating a condition hazardous to public health and safety.

(2) A permit is not required where the installation is exempt under the provisions of **37-69-102** or **50-60-503**.

(3) A state permit is not required whenever the installation occurs in an area governed by a county, city, or town and where there is in effect a county, city, or town building code that covers plumbing installations and that provides inspection procedures.

~~(4) This part does not prohibit the owner of residential property from making an installation for all sanitary plumbing and potable water supply piping without a permit if the owner personally does the work.~~

This exception should be removed. Residential installation creates a threat to public water supplies. Removing this exception would not prohibit a homeowner from doing their own work, it would however minimize the potential of an improper installation causing a thret to a public water supply. This would just require the homeowner to obtain a permit and get their work inspected. This would only apply to homeowners that are connected to a public water supply.

This is also the most abused loophole within the exceptions. Unlicensed practice is often hidden here. It's common for unlicensed contractors to have home owners say they are doing the work themselves to avoid licensure laws and inspections.

(5) The provisions of this part do not apply to regularly employed maintenance personnel doing maintenance work on the business premises of their employer unless the work is subject to the permit provisions of this part.

37-69-102. Permanent and temporary exceptions. (1) Licensure is not required in the following instances of plumbing installation:

(a) when an owner of a single-family residence used exclusively for the owner's personal use installs all sanitary plumbing and potable water supply piping or when a mobile home dealer or a manufactured housing dealer connects a mobile home or a manufactured house to existing sanitary and potable water supply facilities as part of delivering and setting up a mobile home for a purchaser;

(b) in any mine, mill, smelter, refinery, or railroad;

(c) in a farm or ranch not connected to public water supply and sewage disposal systems. For the purposes of this subsection (1)(c), a "farm or ranch" means the same as in **39-3-402**.

(d) in cities, towns, water districts, and water user associations extending, repairing, or replacing their own water and sewer mains;

(e) installation of water conditioner services in private dwellings;

(f) minor work by employees or agents of an appliance dealer incidental to the installation of an appliance purchased from the dealer;

(g) installation of a water meter by a qualified person appointed by the administrative authority of the water system;

(h) in the case of a private water supply, installation of the pump, waterline, or pressure tank, regardless of whether the pump, waterline, or pressure tank is located inside or outside the structure being served;

(i) in the case of an apprentice, as that term is defined in **39-6-101**, who is working under the supervision of a licensed plumber; and

(j) in the case of a student who is enrolled in a plumbing training program offered by an accredited college or university recognized by the board of regents if the student is undertaking class assignments in a classroom or a hands-on laboratory setting. This subsection (1)(j) does not authorize a student to engage in any plumbing work that will be incorporated or used in an occupied structure or connected to a plumbing system where work must be performed by a licensed plumber.

(2) This chapter may not be construed to apply to or to affect plumbing installations in any mines, mills, smelters, refineries, public utilities, railroads, or plumbing installations on farms or ranches not connected to public water supply or sewage disposal systems.

(3) If a licensed person is not available, the council or commission of a county, city, or town or the board of directors or managers of a water or sewer district or water utility may, by ordinance, rule, or resolution, authorize an unlicensed person to perform plumbing work on a temporary basis if:

(a) the council, commission, or board of directors has provided reasonable notice by certified letter to the board; and

(b) the board has approved the temporary authorization or has failed to respond to the certified letter required under subsection (3)(a) within 30 days of the letter's postmark.

(4) The council, commission, board of directors, or board shall withdraw the temporary authorization provided for in subsection (3) when a licensed person is reasonably available.